

Profile for Member Integrated X.509 Credential Services (MICS) with Secured Infrastructure

Version 1.0d

Abstract

This is an Authentication Profile of the International Grid Trust Federation describing the minimum requirements for a Member Integrated X.509 Credential Services (MICS) PKI CAs. MICS X.509 Public Key Certification Authorities (MICS PKI CAs) issue credentials to end-entities, who will themselves possess and control their key pair and their activation data. These CAs will act as an independent trusted third parties for both subscribers and relying parties within the infrastructure. MICS CAs These issuing authorities will use a long-term signing key, which is stored in a secure manner as defined in the Profile.

This Authentication Profile is managed by the TAGPMA and is derived from the TAGPMA SLCS version 1.1.

Table of Contents

1	ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT	4
1.1	IDENTIFICATION	4
2	GENERAL ARCHITECTURE	4
3	IDENTITY	5
3.1	IDENTITY VETTING RULES FOR THE PRIMARY IDENTITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	5
3.2	IDENTITY TRANSLATION RULES	5
3.3	END-ENTITY CERTIFICATE EXPIRATION, RENEWAL AND RE-KEYING	6
3.4	REMOVAL OF AN AUTHORITY FROM THE AUTHENTICATION PROFILE ACCREDITATION	6
4	OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS	6
4.1	CERTIFICATE POLICY AND PRACTICE STATEMENT IDENTIFICATION	7
4.2	CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILE	7

Style Definition: TOC 1: Font: Times New Roman, Bold, All caps, Left, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt

Style Definition: TOC 2: Font: Times New Roman, Small caps, Left

Style Definition: TOC 3: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Left

Style Definition: TOC 4: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Style Definition: TOC 5: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Style Definition: TOC 6: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Style Definition: TOC 7: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Style Definition: TOC 8: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Style Definition: TOC 9: Font: Times New Roman, 9 pt, Complex Script Font: 9 pt, Left

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Deleted: c

Comment [VR1]: Although there is a reference to the SLCS profile, shouldn't the principal difference between this MICS profile and that of the SLCS or even the more closely related Classic Profile be concisely stated here in the abstract?

Formatted: Font: Bold, Complex Script Font: Bold

Field Code Changed

4.3 HOST CERTIFICATES 8
4.4 REVOCATION 8
4.5 CA KEY CHANGEOVER 8
5 SITE AND AUTHORITY ISSUING SYSTEM SECURITY 9
6 PUBLICATION AND REPOSITORY RESPONSIBILITIES 9
7 AUDITS 9
8 PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY 10
9 COMPROMISE AND DISASTER RECOVERY 10
10 DUE DILIGENCE FOR SUBSCRIBERS 10
IDENTITY TRANSLATION RULES 10
3.3 END-ENTITY CERTIFICATE EXPIRATION, RENEWAL AND RE-KEYING 10
3.4 REMOVAL OF A CERTIFICATE AUTHORITY FROM THE AUTHENTICATION PROFILE ACCREDITATION 10
A OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS 10
4.1 CERTIFICATE POLICY AND PRACTICE STATEMENT IDENTIFICATION 10
4.2 CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILE 10
4.3 HOST CERTIFICATES 10
4.4 REVOCATION 10
4.5 CA KEY CHANGEOVER 10
5 SITE AND IDENTITY MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY SYSTEM SECURITY 10
6 PUBLICATION AND REPOSITORY RESPONSIBILITIES 10
7 AUDITS 10
8 PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY 10
9 COMPROMISE AND DISASTER RECOVERY 10
10 DUE DILIGENCE FOR SUBSCRIBERS 10
1

Deleted: 1 ABOUT THIS [2]
Formatted [3]
Deleted: 3
Formatted [4]
Formatted [5]
Deleted: 3.1 IDENTITY VETTING [6]
Formatted [7]
Formatted [8]
Deleted: CERTIFICATE EXPIRATION [9]
Formatted [10]
Deleted: RE [11]
Formatted [11]
Deleted: 4 [12]
Formatted [12]
Deleted: 3.4 REMOVAL OF AN [13]
Formatted [14]
Formatted [15]
Deleted: 4 [16]
Formatted [16]
Formatted [17]
Formatted [18]
Formatted [19]
Deleted: 5 [20]
Formatted [20]
Formatted [21]
Deleted: PROFILE [22]
Formatted [22]
Formatted [23]
Deleted: 4.3 HOST CERTIFICATE [24]
Formatted [25]
Deleted: RESPONSIBILITIES 5 [26]
Formatted [26]
Formatted [27]
Formatted [28]
Deleted: 5 [29]
Formatted [29]
Formatted [30]
Deleted: CONFIDENTIALITY 5 [31]
Formatted [31]
Formatted [32]
Deleted: DISASTER RECOVERY 5 [33]
Formatted [33]
Deleted: 10 DUE DILIGENCE [34]
Formatted [34]
Formatted [35]

TAGPMA

[The Americas Grid
Policy Management Authority](#)

Category: authentication profiles
Status: PENDING-IGTF
Document: [IGTF-AP-MICS-draft-1.1c-EGP20070116.doc](#)
Editor: Margaret Murray
Last updated: March 21, 2007

Deleted: [IGTF-AP-MICS-1.0.doc](#)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Complex
Script Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Left: 2.54 cm, Right:
2.54 cm, Top: 3.81 cm, Section start:
New page, Width: 21.59 cm, Height:
27.94 cm, Header distance from
edge: 1.52 cm, Footer distance from
edge: 1.52 cm

Deleted: 8

Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm,
Right + Not at 15.24 cm

Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

About this document

This document is an Authentication Profile (AP) of the International Grid Trust Federation (IGTF). This AP defines Member ~~Integrated~~ Credential Service X.509 Public Key Certification Authorities (MICS PKI CAs) that issue X.509 credentials to end entities based on an external primary source of identity, with a credential life time of at most 1 year and 1 month. These individual end-entities will themselves possess and control their key pair and their activation data. PKI CAs of this type will act as an independent trusted third party for both subscribers and relying parties within a defined user community.

These authorities will use a long-term signing key, which is stored in a secure manner. This profile defines the minimum requirements for operating a MICS PKI CA in a secure environment. The IGTF member PMAs will use this profile to evaluate ~~accredit~~ a MICS CA requesting accreditation. ~~operated by sites by using this profile.~~

Comment [VR2]: Given the acronym defined in the paragraph above

~~In this document the key words 'must', 'must not', 'required', 'shall', 'shall not', 'recommended', 'may', and 'optional' are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. If a 'should' or 'should not' is not followed, the reasoning for this exception must be explained to the PMA to make an informed decision about accepting the exception, or the applicant must prove to the PMA that an equivalent or better solution is in place.~~
~~or better solution is in place.~~

Deleted: In this document, the key words 'must', 'must not', 'required', 'shall', 'shall not', 'should', 'should not', 'recommended', 'may', and 'optional' in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. 1

1.1 Identification

Document title: Profile for Member Integrated X.509 Credential Services with Secured Infrastructure
Document version: 1.0
Document date: ~~21 March 2007 and 9 May 2007~~
Document date: 17 January, 2007 12 October, 2006.
OID: 1.2.840.113612.5 = IGTF
OID: IGTF.policies.authentication-profiles.mics.1.0
Document OID: 1.2.840.113612.5.2.2.5.1.0

2 General Architecture

A MICS is an automated system to issue X.509 formatted identity assertions (certificates) based on pre-existing identity data maintained by a federation or large organization – the end-entity certificate is thus based on a membership or authentication system maintained by the organization or federation.

Deleted: , and is not the primary identity of the end-entity

The goal is to leverage ~~any~~ existing, well-established identity management system to generate X.509 certificates fully compatible with those issued under the Classic Authentication Profile. In, in most cases, MICS X.509 certificates identify for identifying human individuals but may also identify, in some cases including automated or networked entities., and generate X.509 certificates for these entities that are fully compatible with certificates that would be issued to similar end-entities under the Classic Authentication Profile.

Comment [VR3]: Is the word "any" wise or necessary?

Deleted: as primary identity tokens

A MICS can be based on any primary authentication service to produce a Grid identity, as long as this primary authentication service meets the requirements of this Profile; the MICS will then map this primary identity to a Grid identity. In the CP/CPS that covers the MICS, the following processes must be described, and must be compliant with this Profile:

- The procedures and policies that govern the initial, primary, identity validation;
- How the primary identity management system is managed and secured;
- How the primary identity management system is connected to the MICS;
- How the primary identity is translated to the X.509 certificate;
- How the chain of trust is protected during the translation process.

Formatted: Normal, Centered

Deleted: 8
Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm
Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

To achieve sustainability, it is expected that the CAs will be operated as a long-term commitment by institutions or organizations rather than being bound to specific projects.

3 Identity

Any single subject distinguished name (DN) in a certificate must be linked with to one and only one entity for within the whole of the service. Over the entire lifetime of the service. Service it must not be linked to any other entity. However, entities may have more than than one credential assigned to them. Private keys must not be shared between entities.

Deleted: e

The subject DN used in a certificate may be is assigned to a one and only one person, service, or networked system. The registered, The registered, owner of the subject DN is the human individual or organizational group that has valid rights to exclusive use of that a subject name in the a certificate. Validation, The process of the validation of the, certificate request, establishes the permanent binding between the end-entity, the registered owner, and the subject DN name. This is to ensure that the name when is subsequently reissued refers to the same end-entity it was issued to the first time.

Deleted: To insure that t
Deleted: , once a certificate request has been verified, the ownership of the DN validated, and a certificate issued, the owner is considered to be the "owner" of the DN.

Private keys must not be shared between entities.

Deleted: DN

3.1 Identity Vetting Rules for the Primary Identity Management System

Deleted:

3.2 A MICS PKI CA must define the role of Registration Authority (RA) and how these RAs interact with the IdM system process. Identity vetting rules for the primary identity management system

Deleted: registering

The initial vetting of identity for any entity in the primary authentication system that is valid for certification should be based on a face-to-face meeting and should be confirmed via photo-identification and/or similar valid official documents. Sufficient information must be recorded and archived such that the association of the entity and the subject DN can be confirmed at a later date.

Deleted: end entity of a

In the case of host or service entities, the initial registration should ensure that the association between the registered owner and the FQDN is correct, and sufficient information should be recorded to contact the registered owner.

Deleted: is what maintains

In the case where the initial identity vetting is a distributed operation, these rules shall apply for all registration authority (RA) points and all identity validations that result in primary identities that can be translated by the MICS. Any distributed RA must have formal authority to recognize and establish end-entity identity.

Deleted: an

Deleted: owner

Deleted: (DN)

Deleted: insure

Deleted: person

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: must

Deleted: where the binding of the entity to the subject DN is

Comment [VR4]: What this means is not entirely clear to me.

The primary identity management system may contain other entities that do not qualify based on the above mentioned conditions, but it must not be possible for such entities to obtain valid credentials from the MICS.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

3.3 Identity Translation Rules

3.4 Identity translation rules

All identities used to create end-entity certificates must be based on a the described primary identity management system. A MICS authority must identify the organizational or federated identity management service that will be used to provide the authenticated identity to the MICS. The organization or federation must provide details of how the identity management system creates and validates identities for its users, and this information must be detailed in the CP/CPS of the MICS.

Deleted: grid identity

Deleted: will

A MICS must describe in their CP/CPS:

1. How the identity (DN) assigned in the certificate is unique within the namespace of the issuer.

Deleted: .

Formatted: Normal, Centered

2. How it attests to the validity of the identity.
3. How the identity (DN) assigned in the certificate will never be re-issued to another end-entity during the entire lifetime of the CA.
4. How it provides DN accountability, showing how they can verify shows that they have verified enough identity information to enable tracebacktracing to the physical person for at least as long as the certificate is valid and in keeping with the audit retention requirements. In the event that documented traceability is lost, the DN must never be re-issued.

- Deleted: 8
- Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm
- Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%
- Deleted: .
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

The identity management (IdM) system containing the identity information of the organization or federation must also meet the following conditions:

1. Re-usable private information used to authenticate end-entities to the IdM system must only ever be sent encrypted over the network when authenticating to any system (including any non-certificate issuing systems) that are allowed to use the IdM for authentication.
2. A second authentication factor not published and not normally used to authenticate to the IdM (i.e. a reasonable private factor) must be used to authenticate the end-entity for any certificate issuance.
3. The end-entities must be notified of any certificate issuance, using contact information previously registered in the IdM (for example by electronic mail).
4. From the information stored in the IdM it must be possible to determine if the requestor's identity has originally been validated using all initial vetting requirements described above.

A second authentication element not published and not normally used to authenticate to the IdM (i.e. a reasonable private identity verification element) may be used to authenticate the end-entity for any certificate issuance. The CP/CPS must describe how the 'private element' maps to the IdM identity and how it increases identity assurance. Answers to 'private element' questions get collected either at initial F2F registration or out-of-band with RA verification.

The IdM used by the CA should be an a identity management system that is also used to protect access to other critical resources – e.g. payroll systems; for use in financial transaction support; transactions, granting access control for to highly-valuable resources – and should be regularly maintained. AlternatelyAlternately, equivalent security mechanisms must be provided and described in detail and presented to the PMA with acceptanceand are subject to PMA agreement.

3.5 End-entity Certificate Expiration, Renewalcertificate expiration, renewal and Rere-keying

For anyany, renewal or rekeying of the certificate by the MICS:

- The the registered owner must authenticate to the IdM and
- The the MICS must follow the same identity translation requirements described above.

Certificates associated with a private key restricted solely to a hardware token may be renewed for a period of up to 5 years (for equivalent RSA key lengths of 2048 bits) or 3 years (for equivalent RSA key lengths of 1024 bits). Otherwise; otherwise, the certificate must be re-keyed.

- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
- Deleted: Credentials issued by a MICS must not be renewed or re-keyed: a
- Deleted: and all
- Deleted: issuance
- Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Tab after: 1.27 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm
- Deleted: , and be based on the primary identity management system.
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

3.6 Removal of a Certificate Authority from the Authentication Profile AccreditationRemoval of an authority from the authentication profile accreditation

An accredited certificate authority, (CA) should be removed from the list of authorities accredited under this profile if it fails to comply with this authentication profile document, or with the IGTF Federation Document, via the voting process described in the Charter of the appropriate PMA, to which this authority is accredited.

4 Operational Requirements

The MICS CA computer, where the signing of the end-entity certificates will take place, needs to be a dedicated machine, running no other services than those needed for CA operations. The CA computer must be located in a secure environment where access is controlled and, limited to specific trained personnel.

- Deleted: grid identity
- Deleted: the MICS
- Formatted: Normal, Centered

Deleted: 8
Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm
Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

The MICS CA system is designed tomay be an on-line system, i.e. the issuing machine may be connected (directly or indirectly) to a network or other computer device. If so, it must be equipped with at least a FIPS 140-2 level 3 capable Hardware Security Module (HSM) or equivalent, and the CA system must be operated in FIPS 140-2 level 3 mode to protect the CA's private key. The CA computer must only be connected to a highly protected/monitored network, which may be accessible from the Internet. The secure environment must be documented and approved by the PMA, and that document or an approved audit thereof must be available to the PMA.

Deleted: is
Deleted: It
Deleted: can and will

Known compliant architectures (with details described in the "on-line CA Guideline Document") include:

- Anan authentication/certificate self-service system, suitably protected and connected to the public network, and a separate signing system, connected to the front-end via a private link, that only processes approved signing requests and logs all certificate issuances (model A);
- Anan authentication/request server containing also the HSM hardware, connected to a dedicated network that only carries traffic destined for the CA and is actively monitored for intrusions and is protected via a packet-inspecting stateful firewall (model B);

Equivalence or equivalence of the protection level must be demonstrated to the PMA.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

The on-line CA architecture should provide for a tamper-protected log of issued certificates.

A MICS CA that does not employ a FIPS 140 level 3 Hardware Security Module, must describe the security precautions taken to protect the MICS CA private key.

The MICS CA Key must have a minimum length of 2048 bits. Copies of the encrypted private key must be kept on off-line media in secure places where access is controlled. The MICS CA signing certificate lifetime should not be more than 20 years.

4.1 Certificate Policy and Practice Statement Identification

Every MICS CA must have a Certification Policy and Certificate Practice Statement (CP/CPS Document) and assign it a globally unique object identifier (OID). CP/CPS documents should be structured as defined in RFC 3647. Whenever there is a change in the CP/CPS the OID of the document must change and the major changes must be announced to the accrediting PMA and approved before signing any certificates under the new CP/CPS. All the CP/CPS documents under which valid certificates are issued must be available on the web.

4.2 Certificate and CRL Profile profile

The accredited MICS authority must publish an a X.509 certificate as a root of trust.

The MICS CAs must issue and publish CRLs, unless the life time of all end entity certificate is less thanthan 1 million seconds (~ 11 days).

Deleted: e

The MICS CA certificate must have the extensions keyUsage and basicConstraints marked as critical.

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic
Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

The MICS authority shall issue X.509 certificates to end entities based on cryptographic data generated by the applicant, or based on cryptographic data that can be held only by the applicant (e.g., on a secure hardware token: generated from a transient yet unique session handle retrieved from the applicant's encrypted session).

Formatted: Normal, Centered

Deleted: 8
 Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm
 Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

The end-entity certificates keys must be at least 1024 bits long and have a maximum lifetime less than 1 year and one month, and may be as short as the authority will support.

The end-entity certificates must be in X.509v3 format and compliant with RFC3280 unless explicitly stated otherwise. In the certificate extensions:

- A **Policy Identifier** containing only OIDs must be included and must contain at least one OID; **and only OIDs**
- If any end-entity certificates with a life time longer than **1 million seconds** exist or have existed, the **CRLDistributionPoints** extension must be included and contain at least one http URL;
- **keyUsage** must be included and marked as critical;
- **basicConstraints** may be included, and when included it must be set to 'CA: false' and marked as critical so that it conforms to general CA and ASN.1 practice;
- If the issuing CA operates as an OCS responder, operated as a production service OCS responder, by the issuing CA, is available, **AuthorityInfoAccess** must be included and contain at least one URI;
- For certificates bound to network entities, a FQDN must be included as a dnsName in the **SubjectAlternativeName**.

Comment [VR5]: Is this the same as certificatePolicies as given in ss 3.3.11 of the Grid Certificate Profile?
 Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic
 Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

If a **commonName** component is used as part of the subject DN, it should contain an appropriate presentation of the actual name of the end-entity.

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

The message digests of the certificates must be generated by a trustworthy mechanism, like SHA1 (in particular, MD5 must not be used).

4.3 Host Certificates

4.4 Host certificates

Host certificates can be issued if and only if the applicant is authorized to manage the specified host. Such authorization must be described in the CP/CPS. Every host certificate DN must include the FQDN of the host.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
 Deleted: to members
 Deleted: that
 Deleted: member

4.5 Revocation

If the MICS implements revocation, revocation requests can be made by certificate holders, IdM managers and the MICS CA. These requests must be properly authenticated. Others can request revocation if they can sufficiently prove compromise or exposure of the associated private key. The IdM manager must suspend or revoke authentication certificate if member the data changes or the traceability to the person is lost. Suspension or revocation must last until identity is updated and confirmed according to IdM policies.

Comment [VR6]: Could move this sentence before the last sentence of Section 4.2 and remove the Section 4.3 heading.
 Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
 Deleted: Revocation
 Deleted: Site
 Deleted: identity
 Deleted: site
 Deleted: identity
 Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Individual holders of a MICS certificate must request revocation if the private key pertaining to the certificate is lost or has been compromised, or if the data in the certificate are no longer valid.

4.6 CA Key Changeover

4.7 CA key changeover

When the MICS CA's cryptographic data needs to be changed, such a transition shall be managed. From: from the time of distribution of the new cryptographic data, only the new key will be used for certificate signing purposes. The overlap of the old and new key must last for be at least as long as the longest period for which an issued certificate will be valid.

Formatted: Normal, Centered

Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm

Deleted: 8

Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

5 Site and Identity Management Authority System Security ~~Site and authority issuing system security~~

5.1 Site CA Security

The pass phrase of the encrypted private key must be kept also on an offline medium, separated from the encrypted keys and guarded in a safe place where only the authorized personnel of the Certification Authority have access. Alternatively, another documented procedure that is equally secure may be used.

Formatted: Complex Script Font: Arial

5.2 Identity Management Security

The IdM system(s) of the organization or federation must be well protected, and all communications between the IdMs and the certificate issuance setup must be well secured.

Deleted: primary

Deleted: ¶
Typically, the IdM is considered well-protected if this same IdM used by the CA is also the identity management system that is also used to protect access to other critical resources – e.g. payroll systems, for use in financial transactions, granting access to highly-valuable resources – and be regularly maintained. Alternatively, equivalent security mechanisms must be provided and described in detail and presented to the PMA and are subject to PMA agreement.¶
¶
Re-usable private information used to authenticate end-entities to any IdM systems involved in certificate issuance must only ever be sent encrypted over the network when authenticating to any system (including any non-certificate issuing systems) that are allowed to use the IdM for authentication.¶
¶
The certificate issuance setup must comply with the on-line CA setup requirements specified in Section 4.

6 Publication and Repository Responsibilities ~~responsibilities~~

Each MICS authority must publish for their subscribers, relying parties and for the benefit of distribution by the PMA and the federation:

- An a http or https URL of the web page of the CA for general information;
- Aa MICS CA root certificate or set of CA certificates up to a self-signed root;
- Ana http or https URL of the PEM-formatted CA certificate;
- If revocation is supported, an a http URL of the PEM or DER formatted CRL;
- The the CP/ and CPS documents;
- An an official contact email address for inquiries and fault reporting;
- Aa physical orof postal contact address.

The MICS CA should provide a means to validate the integrity of their root of trust.

Furthermore, the MICS CA CP/CPS must provide their trust anchor to a trust anchor repository, specified by the accrediting PMA, via the method specified in the policy of the trust anchor repository.

The repository must be run at least on a best-effort basis, with an intended continuous availability.

The originating authority must grant to the PMA and the Federation – by virtue of its accreditation – the right of unlimited re-distribution of the above list of published information.

7 Audits

The MICS CA must record and archive all requests for certificates, along with all the issued certificates, all the requests for revocation and the login/logout/reboot of the issuing machine.

The MICS CA must keep these records for at least three years. These records must be made available to external auditors in the course of their work as auditor.

Each MICS CA must accept being audited by other accredited CAs to verify its compliance with the rules and procedures specified in its CP/CPS document.

The MICS CA should perform internal operational audits of the CA/RA staff and IdM interfaces at least once per year to verify its compliance with the rules and procedures specified in its CP/CPS document. Audit results shall be made available to the PMA upon request. A list of CA and site identity management personnel should be maintained and verified at least once per year.

Formatted: Normal, Centered

Formatted: Left, Tabs: 16.5 cm, Right + Not at 15.24 cm

Deleted: 8

Formatted: Font color: Gray-40%

In order to establish the trust of the IdM itself, it is recommended that the IdM system make their periodic audits and reviews available to the MICS CA. The identity management system on which the MICS CA relies should undergo a periodic review or audit. This review should be conducted by persons other than the system operators.

8 Privacy and Confidentiality ~~confidentiality~~

Accredited MICS CAs must define and follow a privacy and data release policy compliant with the relevant national legislation. The MICS CA is responsible for recording (, at the time of validation), sufficient information to uniquely identify the person getting the certificate. The CA is not required to release such information unless provided by a valid legal request according to national laws applicable to that MICS CA.

9 Compromise and Disaster Recovery ~~disaster recovery~~

The MICS CA should have aa Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery plan, and be willing to discuss this procedure in the PMA. The procedure need not be disclosed in the CP/CPS.

Deleted: n

10 Due Diligence for Subscribers ~~Due diligence for subscribers~~

The MICS CA should make a reasonable effort to make sure that subscribers realize the importance of properly protecting their private data.

Deleted: people

For credentials with a life time longer than 1 million seconds ~~Ms~~ that are issued to user end entities, it is incumbent human individuals, it is upon the user to protect the private key with a strong pass phrase. End-entities must request revocation as soon as possible, but within one working day after detection of loss or compromise of the private key pertaining to the certificate, or if the data in the certificate is no longer valid.

Formatted: Normal, Centered