

No.

Participants 26th EUGridPMA meeting

Meeting Information	Registration
---------------------	--------------

	Name	Affiliation	Membership
✓1	David Groep	Nikhef	DutchGrid and EGI
✓2	Valentin Pocotilenco	RENAM	MD-Grid CA
✓3	GUEZOU Jean-François	RENATER	GRID2-FR
✓4	Armenuhi Abramyan	Armenian e-Science Foundation (ArmeSFo)	ArmeSFo CA
5	Narine Manukyan	Armenian e-Science Foundation (ArmeSFo)	ArmeSFo CA
6	Adeel-ur-Rehman Zafar	National Centre for Physics, Islamabad, Pakistan.	PK-GRID-CA
✓7	Jules Wolfrat	SARA	PRACE
✓8	Oleksandr Rokovyi	NTUU KPI	UGRID CA
✓9	Sergii Stirenko	NTUU KPI	UGRID CA
✓10	Vincent Ribaillier	CNRS/IDRIS	PRACE
11	Urpo Kaila	CSC - IT Center for Science	CSC - IT Center for Science
✓12	Maarten Litmaath	CERN	CERN TCA
✓13	David Kelsey	STFC-RAL	WLCG
✓14	Keith Chadwick	Fermilab	Fermilab
✓15	Paolo Tedesco	CERN	CERN CA
✓16	Alessandro Usai	SWITCH	SWITCH
✓17	Hardi Teder	EENet	Baltic Grid CA
✓18	Roberto Cecchini	INFN	INFN CA
✓19	David O'Callaghan	Trinity College Dublin	Grid-Ireland CA
✓20	Eric YEN	ASGC	ASGCCA
✓21	Dobrisa Dobrenic	SRCE	SRCE CA
✓22	Milan Sova	CESNET	CESNET CA
✓23	Thijs Kinkhorst	Tilburg U./TERENA	TCS Personal
✓24	Cosmin Nistor	Romanian Space Agency (ROSA)	RomanianGRID CA
✓25	Alexandru Bobe	Romanian Space Agency (ROSA)	RomanianGRID CA
✓26	Nuno Dias	LIP	LIPCA

V. Ribaillier

Comments to David Groep.

CA

RP

Participants remote: Vincent R. (IDRIS/PACE).

Eric Yen no changes to membership in AP.
ARCS. → looking for new providers, ~100 cents.

Dave V./Derek TAG PM19 update.

(AC) *TACC retirement. (caused by other XSEDE CA)

*RPS registration practices.

*SHA-2 variants.

*CCSP + IPv6. no issue for US: no interest.

S/P. ● Hellen + 8ET: needs to be done still

Dutch Grid: DONE ✓

INCC: move to FCS.

● HPA Grid: ongoing.

● TR+Grid: ongoing.

● Foly: org.

ROSLA: waiting for review Usula.

(AC) BG: : prog?

MPAGE: done.

Beltre: i rede S/A.

(AC) UK: : on hold. → must do S/A and update CP/KPS.

(AC) phIDIS: ongoing.

ITELIS: done.

Ireland: done.

11/5

SHA 1→2.

jglobusR: Keith: Globus team moving to new jglobus10 but that's not started yet.

✶ also CP risk w/SHA-1 is ok but CA runs risk of being locked out once SHA-1 is broken.

especially @anoVadis, maybe also Comodo affected.

but CAB forum may suddenly mandate move to SHA-2 ...

②. by Apr 2013 aim to have no unsupported mbr. → NMD2 by 30 apr.
so at the end of 2013 (NMD3) all "should" be ok.

also address software by user communities (esp. LCG experiments).
that should be tested. but no firm commitments from them.

TAG PHP.

end-of-life. message valid until date ≤ 31 march 2014.

other mitigation option: longer end but withdraw CA in case of
breaking SHA-1.

* if algo is broken in a way you can brute-force any content, then
s/w should disable algo.

then it's a s/w vulnerability and that has a different process
(and not an IGF process).

→ prefer OS and common library :-)

* new CA cuts in 2014 SHA-2?! real discuss.

↳ what happens if s/w disables the algo? will all fail.

but also MD5 has never been removed.

* What about attribute authorities like VOHS? Testing needed
assume algo remains, this issue is not coupled.

but to be secure also VOHS should be SHA-2, but today both need
to be OK (also identity) to be secure.

should be checked, but there are more implementations (OSG uses
the global XACML library).

FNAL has a SHA-2 VOHS server for testing.

* multiple algo's support in same chain needed.

* new roots (existing components not) should be SHA-2? differ
between roots and intermediates.

* CA's themselves use SHA-256, and SHA-256 for FEC's? X

* CA's: different dates, some issue both.

switch to SHA-2 only after everything known to work.

SHA-2 capable CAs. as of now

non-acc: CERN CA, CILegon,

acc: DutchGrid, DigiCert, GridCanada.

by 1 Oct '12: BaltECGrid, ROSA, INFN?, CESNET.

IAFT document. → keep categorization needs IETF CA inputs for proper remediation.

- because of new software problems like the move to NSS by Redhat and impact on libcurl etc

move to EC crypto in 2018? not now.

(ACT) * Remember move to 2048 bit EEC by end of 2012.

Alessandro. SLCs OCSP (as per Karlsruhe) is that needed?

for sites it's less relevant, so make it optional?

Disadvantages: leaks activity of client to responder/cache (unless you use stapling)

advantages: more timely, we need to CRLs, TLS stapling.

"OCSP stability at many CAs may not be good enough, and therefore threatens infra stability."

early on do OCSP on CAs to allow development. Not all CAs get.

we trusted (not authorized) responders.

From TRAC P11A: not all CAs needed.

but all RP sites would need a cache service.

stapling is hard for client-auth.

Report from Karlsruhe, taking Panama into account:

(ACT) Not needed for SLCs.

defer date for those that cannot do production-level. If you can: do it!

consider jointly running anycast CAs?

(4)

16 France ok.

6 Abu Dhabi ok.

09/44

EQ-GRID ok for reviewers. Accredited by acclamation.

(ACT)

Armenia
09/48

ArmedFo CR.

good reviews. -> reset date of S/A.

(ACT)

reviewers should be more active.

PKGrid (09)

Self audit presentation. by Adeel ur Rehman.

(Adeel)

Slide 6: in practice ok? (cf #8) yes, in practice ok.

#9 -> is ok: -> like #10

record archival partially is not D but A.

Reviewers: Milan, Davally.

Valentin

did not show.

either show or suggest resignation.

(ACT)

(DECIS)

review membership guidelines -> allow video to replace FAT to some

extent (2 out of 3 articles 2-year for FAT)

(ACT)

ask OSQ rep for Jim Barney.

(ACT)

send warnings to IRAN

NIF + S/A

orders -> MUST come or face removal.

RDIG.

IRAN 16

(ACT)

DoE Grids -> move to TAC, PHA.

S/A

IRAN, NIF, SIGMed, StorageGrid, USeSc.

FIT4R. differentiating LoA 2 IETF
 look at EUDSS? not active in policy based stuff yet.
 ↳ as a RP?

[Lunch]

Hardi S/A BalticGrid CR

↳ Self audit done, maybe re-use RP network also for LV TCS service.
 new CP/CRS by end of the week. (14 sep)

Rev: Donald, Aleksandr.

Umbro (Milan) → general feeling about LoA-2 is not pessimistic.
 - everyone to read Umbro doc (esp. RP's).

[After]

[STS]. based on Christoph's presentation.
 + Reimer + Valery.

STS development at 80% done of SAML → X509. based on IAP's P/K extension. (Henri)
 demo next week at EGITF Prague. → beta in december 2012.

target ENI-3, support afterwards unclear.

generalised SICS focus on SAML → X509.

only unicon has an actual use case: submit jobs from Unicon to
 VOMS-CREAM CE.

also WLCG service access is a use case (Romain W), & Fed Cloud?

START with usecases. for discussion.

- schedule for Jan. 2013 once usecases identified.

* maybe original IAP should be identifiable in final cert.

* where does the incoming SAML assertion coming from?

in s1e1e19 device A is portal or unicon device.

↳ unicon makes internal SAML assertion, maybe from X509 again! :-)

• STS demo next week!

②

26th EUGridPMA.

12-09-2008.

Paolo

SHA-2. @CERN

- same namespace for new ca.

code signing → not really useful, but users seem to insist on them

- 2 years is a problem:

→ put code signing on different (non-accredited) CA under the same root. (and make it the typical 3 years)

→ look at meaningful "O" attributes to get sensible display name in browser warnings.

remains classic, just add new root + CA in distribution.

Robert

colon has issues in httpsssl. found for "UID:" and "Robot:"

FNRL did testing for "uid:" 3-years ago, and lots of other things did not work.

Mark may have a ops solution to still use a colon in httpsssl.

↳ see mail.

use of "/" for robot in use for "service" certs. DFAs use "--".

→ use a non-alpha, (non-whitespace), Printable char after Robot.

"=" is bad, "/" is confusing, ":" is broken, recommend
Robot "RobotL-L".

did gain BC + apache.

* New CAs ^{should} prefer the new convention, but existing CAs do not have to change.

→ prefer the least RDN, so it shows up more in logs.

CCSP

RTF 5019 only in PHP + Apache

- needs only the index.txt file for openssl based CAs.

Validity of responses:

• start with 30-day precomp response life time to stand the test.

do at least have something there and RP's can stand to test.

it should be easy on the CAs to generate them

- CCSP
- hit on CA may be higher (more requests than CRL's). "DDoS"-like.
 - not mandatory yet. (and RP's don't use it yet).
 - CCSP responses are smaller (and scaling behaviour different wrt load of ops)

shw expected by end of Sept 2012.

(VACT) doc and guideline → CAOPS-NG in CGF36 (Chicago). → Milan.

Uksec (Dk) don't let EECs live until the very end of the CA life time.
allow a few months different.

DECISION: allow 2 month.

not in RP, but in distribution process guidelines.

in release doc: "CA must be valid for entire period until the new release at the last Monday of the month".

→ document the release process.

x best: roll over earlier (14-16 mo in advance).

(VACT) send warning on distro build 16 mo. in advance and, don't trust the CA's.

(VACT) - Cyprus has an issue on Feb 3.

Grid Ireland THANKS to David OC!

decisions in next month on if and how to continue for e.g. PRACE.

- is relevant for prace! Subj. to raise awareness in PRACE in .ie.
- maybe TCS solution. Or heard about it over.

closing: thanks to Jean-François and Hélière.